

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/990,714	11/21/2001	Anthony C. Ross	Ross-10	3910
75	90 03/18/2003			
Loren G. Helmreich BROWNING BUSHMAN			EXAMINER	
			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
Suite 1800			id books, s.	
5718 Westheim Houston, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
riousion, rx /	,00,		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	AS
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Uni	t
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et beneath the correspondence	e address—
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	eply within the statutory t, expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the applicat	y minimum of thirty (30) days will be on IS from the mailing date of this commition to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	onsidered timely. unication. .C. § 133).
Status	7 2477	h. h	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1,202	piepes no 5)	
This action is FINAL .		-	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 			is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
∠ Claim(s)			
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from	consideration.
□ Claim(s)	·	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) /-/5		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)			ion or election
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approv	requirement ed □ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Exami	ner	
$\hfill \square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 11	9 (a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived in Application	on No	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	d	
in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a))	
*Certified copies not received:			·
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	3
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent App	olication, PTO-152
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		□ Other	•

Art Unit: 1711

An amendment (paper no. 5) has been filed on Nov. 27, 2002.

- 2 Claims under examination are 1-15.
- 3. Rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph has now been withdrawn following appropriate amendment of that claim.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 (as amended) encompasses subject matter, which is not supported by the specification. Applicants have not pointed out the portions of the specification, which support the said matter. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Same is the case with claim 11.

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mannschedel (USPQ 6126446) in view of Shoher et al (USP 5272184).
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed on Nov. 27, 2002 (paper no. 5) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argument (on page 10 of above paper) that "prior art does not disclose or suggest newly added features of claim 1 & 11" is not persuasive because

Application/Control Number: 09/990,714

Art Unit: 1711

instant claims are directed to a composition and not to a process. Prior art teaches the claimed ingredients which make the claimed composition.

9 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,714

Art Unit: 1711

Ragjuru/LR March 7, 2003

> James J. Seidiec∗ Supervisory Paters Examination
> Technology Const